

Leadership as a Catalyst for Nigeria's National Development: A Behavioral and Systems Perspective

Mary Dembele & Oladejo Lukman G

Department of Business Management, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria

Received: 21 October 2025; Reviewed: 21 December 2025; Accepted: 29 December 2025;

*Corresponding Email: rogersintergrity@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines the role of leadership as a catalyst for Nigeria's national development from a behavioural and systems perspective. The study employs a qualitative, conceptual review Design, based on a systematic analysis of peer-reviewed literature, policy documents, and theoretical models related to leadership, governance, and development. Drawing on behavioural leadership theory, systems management theory, and the leadership grid framework, the study analyses how leadership practices shape institutional effectiveness and developmental outcomes in Nigeria. The findings indicate that deficiencies in leadership orientation, ethical standards, emotional intelligence, coordination capacity, and institutional accountability constitute significant barriers to Nigeria's development performance. The analysis further shows that leadership practices that balance task orientation with people-centred engagement, particularly those aligned with the 9,9 leadership grid, are more likely to enhance transparency, innovation, institutional trust, and sustainable growth. The study also finds that the weak integration of leadership development into national planning frameworks limits Nigeria's capacity to translate policy goals, including the Sustainable Development Goals, into tangible outcomes. The paper contributes to leadership and development scholarship by offering an integrated behavioural-systems framework for understanding leadership failure and reform in Nigeria. It recommends embedding leadership development into national development planning and establishing a dedicated Nigerian Institute for Leadership to enhance capacity, uphold ethical standards, and foster long-term governance effectiveness.

Keywords: Leadership; National Development; Orientation; Corruption; Emotional Intelligence; Sustainable Growth; and Leadership Grid

How to Cite: Dembele, M., & Lukman, G. O. (2025). Leadership as a Catalyst for Nigeria's National Development: A Behavioral and Systems Perspective, *Journal of Law & Policy Review*, 3(2): 176-184

INTRODUCTION

Leadership is widely recognised as a critical driver of organisational and national performance. It entails the ability to influence, guide, and mobilise individuals and institutions toward shared goals through both technical competence and relational capacity (Rosari, 2019). From a behavioural perspective, leadership focuses on how leaders' attitudes, values, and interpersonal skills shape follower motivation and performance. From a systems perspective, leadership is embedded within institutional structures, policy frameworks, and governance arrangements that enable or constrain collective action. Together, these perspectives suggest that leadership is not merely an individual attribute but a systemic force that shapes developmental outcomes across political, economic, and social domains.

In Nigeria, leadership has been a central focus of the national development discourse since independence; yet, persistent challenges persist in translating leadership into sustainable development outcomes (Odukoya et al., 2024). Despite the presence of leadership development institutions such as the National Institute for Policy and Strategic Studies (NIPSS) and other policy and training bodies, Nigeria continues to face deficits in economic inclusion, institutional effectiveness, ethical governance, and coordinated development planning (Olu-Owolabi et al., 2021). These deficits are reflected in weak policy implementation, low institutional trust, and limited progress toward key development targets, including Sustainable Development Goal 8 on decent work and economic growth (United Nations, 2015; Arora & Mishra, 2019).

While existing studies acknowledge the importance of leadership for development, much of the Nigerian literature remains either descriptive, normative, or sector-specific (Ogunwa & Abasilim, 2024). There is a limited integrative analysis that connects leadership behaviour, institutional systems, and development outcomes within a unified conceptual framework. In particular, there is a lack of empirical and theoretical synthesis examining how behavioural leadership traits interact with systemic institutional arrangements to shape Nigeria's development trajectory. This gap limits the explanatory power of current scholarship and weakens policymakers' and institutions' capacity to Design leadership reforms that are both behaviourally grounded and systemically embedded.

Against this background, this paper examines leadership as a catalyst for Nigeria's national development from a behavioural and systems perspective. Specifically, the study aims to:

- Analyse the behavioural leadership attributes that influence institutional effectiveness and development performance in Nigeria.
- Examine how systemic and institutional structures shape the impact of leadership on national development outcomes; and
- Propose an integrated leadership framework that links behavioural competencies with systemic governance reforms to enhance sustainable development.

The novelty of this study lies in its integrative analytical approach, which combines behavioural leadership theory, systems management theory, and the leadership grid framework to examine leadership–development linkages in Nigeria. By moving beyond descriptive accounts and offering a conceptual synthesis that connects individual leadership behaviour with institutional systems, the study provides a more comprehensive explanation of leadership failure and reform in Nigeria. This approach contributes to leadership and development scholarship, offering a practical framework for embedding leadership development into national policy and institutional reform processes.

CONCEPTUAL DEFINITIONS AND LITERATURE REVIEW

The Concept of Leadership

Leadership has long been debated as either an innate quality or a learned capacity, with contemporary scholarship suggesting that effective leadership emerges from an interaction between individual predispositions and acquired competencies (Abasilim, 2024). Whether leaders are born or made, leadership is fundamentally a process of influence through which individuals mobilise others toward shared goals. It involves shaping collective direction, aligning individual and institutional efforts, and enabling coordinated action to achieve agreed outcomes.

Leadership is therefore not reducible to authority, command, or formal position; rather, it is a relational and behavioural process that produces purposeful change in individuals, groups, and institutions. It entails learning, decision-making, problem-solving, and the capacity to inspire commitment toward common objectives. From a developmental perspective, leadership becomes meaningful when it generates behavioural change that enhances performance, accountability, institutional effectiveness, and social value creation.

Effective leadership is grounded in an integrated set of knowledge, skills, and attitudes that foster trust, integrity, sincerity, responsibility, and commitment among followers. These attributes enable leaders to create organisational cultures that support ethical conduct, innovation, resilience, and performance. Leadership practice must therefore be subject to systematic evaluation through formal performance indicators, feedback mechanisms, and institutional accountability systems, especially in public sector contexts. The absence of such mechanisms exposes organisations and societies to governance failures, corruption, inefficiency, and long-term developmental risks.

Empirical evidence from Nigeria underscores the developmental consequences of leadership failure. Umeanwe (2020), for example, argues that Nigeria's core governance crisis is not merely financial corruption but the absence of leadership by example, which normalises unethical behaviour and weakens institutional integrity. This leadership deficit contributes indirectly to broader structural challenges, including insecurity, unemployment, weak service delivery, and institutional fragility (Folarin et al., 2025). In this sense, leadership is not simply a managerial function but a foundational driver of national stability, legitimacy, and development.

Consistent with this view, Pandey (2022) conceptualises leadership as the capacity to guide, inspire, and influence individuals and institutions toward a shared vision through integrity, empathy, emotional intelligence, resilience, competence, and decisiveness. Leadership manifests across diverse settings, including business, politics, civil society, and government. It becomes developmentally significant when it facilitates constructive change through effective communication, adaptive problem-solving, ethical judgment, and inclusive decision-making. Thus, leadership is best understood as a mechanism for generating sustainable value, institutional coherence, and long-term social transformation.

The Leadership Grid

The Leadership Grid (formerly the Managerial Grid), developed by Blake and Mouton (1964), provides a widely used framework for analysing leadership behaviour along two dimensions: concern for people and concern for production (task achievement). These dimensions are conceptualised on a continuum from low to high, generating five ideal-typical leadership styles that reflect different behavioural orientations and managerial priorities.

The grid highlights that leadership effectiveness is not determined by concern for people or performance alone, but by the balance between the two. It therefore offers a behavioural lens for assessing leadership quality and its implications for organisational performance and employee wellbeing.

Leadership Styles in the Grid

The five leadership styles identified by the grid are:

1. **Impoverished Management (1,1):** Low concern for both people and production, resulting in minimal effort, weak coordination, and poor organisational performance.
2. **Country Club Management (1,9):** High concern for people but low concern for production, often creating a comfortable environment at the expense of efficiency and goal attainment.
3. **Produce or Perish Management (9,1):** High concern for production but low concern for people, which may achieve short-term results but often leads to burnout, resistance, and low morale.
4. **Middle-of-the-Road Management (5,5):** Moderate concern for both people and production, resulting in average performance without excellence or innovation.
5. **Team Management (9,9):** High concern for both people and production, considered the most effective style as it promotes commitment, trust, collaboration, and high performance.

The grid thus supports the argument that sustainable leadership effectiveness lies in integrating human- and task-oriented priorities rather than separating them.



In addition to behavioural styles, leadership effectiveness is shaped by ethical and cognitive dispositions. Drawing on applied ethics and moral leadership scholarship, six key traits are particularly relevant:

1. A self-demanding attitude that emphasises responsibility, discipline, and accountability;
2. The capacity to learn from failure and adapt constructively.
3. Authenticity in values, actions, and interpersonal relations;
4. Acceptance and respect for diversity and alternative perspectives;
5. Empathy as the basis for trust, inclusion, and social legitimacy; and
6. A long-term orientation that prioritises sustainability over short-term gains.

These traits reinforce the normative foundations of leadership by linking influence to ethical responsibility, social inclusion, and intergenerational justice.

Leadership thus constitutes a central determinant of organisational effectiveness and national development. It shapes institutional behaviour, social norms, policy implementation, and public trust. When exercised ethically and systemically, leadership becomes a catalyst for sustainable development; when absent or distorted, it becomes a driver of institutional decay and social vulnerability. Consequently, leadership holds a pivotal role in determining the success or failure of national development trajectories.

THE ROLE OF LEADERSHIP IN NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Leadership constitutes the central institutional mechanism through which governance quality, policy effectiveness, and development outcomes are produced. It provides strategic direction, normative guidance, and organisational capacity for mobilising collective action toward national goals. In this sense, leadership is not merely an individual attribute or positional authority, but a systemic function that links political institutions, public policy, social trust, and economic performance (Rosari, 2019). Where leadership is purposeful, ethical, and inclusive, it strengthens institutional capacity and promotes sustainable development; where it is weak, fragmented, or self-serving, it generates governance failure, corruption, and developmental stagnation (Abasilim et al., 2019).

Political leadership is particularly significant in shaping national development trajectories because it determines policy priorities, allocates resources, and structures accountability mechanisms. In Nigeria, political leadership has a profound impact on security, education, healthcare, infrastructure, and economic development (Abasilim & Oparah, 2025). Effective political leadership can foster stability, policy coherence, and citizen trust, while dysfunctional leadership entrenches patronage, inefficiency, and institutional decay. Leadership, therefore, mediates the translation of political power into developmental outcomes (Anam et al., 2024; FMFNP, 2021).

Leadership effectiveness is also shaped by relational and behavioural capacities, particularly emotional intelligence, interpersonal competence, and ethical orientation. Emotional intelligence enhances leaders' ability to manage conflict, build trust, and sustain cooperation under conditions of uncertainty and change (Singh & Singh, 2025). However, empirical evidence suggests that leadership outcomes are often shaped by complex, indeterminate causal relationships, meaning that positive leadership does not automatically yield positive outcomes (Fischer & Alvesson, 2025). Nonetheless, leaders play a significant role in shaping subordinates' perceptions of trust, legitimacy, and organisational integrity (Ahmad, 2025).

Interpersonal relationships further influence organisational climate, staff motivation, and institutional legitimacy, especially within hierarchical systems. Research shows that interpersonal relationships correlate more strongly with the attitudes and behaviours of middle-level managers than with those of top-level managers, underscoring the importance of relational leadership across organisational levels (Mohiya, 2025).

Ethical leadership is crucial in combating corruption, which remains one of the most significant obstacles to development. Corruption undermines public trust, distorts resource allocation, weakens service delivery, and discourages investment (Bardhan, 2017). Empirical studies have shown that corruption is pervasive across sectors such as procurement, healthcare,

and public administration (Doshi & Ranganathan, 2019; Obicci, 2025). These patterns highlight that corruption is not merely a legal problem but a leadership failure rooted in weak accountability, low ethical standards, and fragile institutions (Mendoza et al., 2025).

Beyond governance and ethics, leadership shapes development by influencing planning, innovation, education, and institutional learning. Strategic planning enables leaders to set priorities, coordinate actions, and manage long-term investments (Khalilov, Aliyev, & Zeynalov, 2025). Innovation supports adaptability and productivity by transforming knowledge into new processes, products, and organisational practices (Varadaraja, 2018; Stojčić et al., 2018). Education builds human capital and institutional capacity, forming the foundation for sustainable growth and resilience (Abasilim & Oparah, 2025).

Contemporary leadership, therefore, requires adaptability, openness, and a commitment to continuous learning. Leadership development is not static but evolves through experience, reflection, failure, and reform. Analytical frameworks such as the “5Ps of leadership” (purpose, process, personal attributes, position, and product) provide tools for evaluating leadership performance based on outcomes rather than rhetoric. Effective leadership leaves institutions stronger than they were before; ineffective leadership leaves them depleted, fragmented, or captured by private interests.

These dynamics are directly reflected in Nigeria’s development challenges and aspirations. The National Development Plan (2021–2025) aims to enhance governance, improve security, strengthen human capital, and promote inclusive growth (FMFNP, 2021; Anam et al., 2024). Achieving these objectives depends not only on policy Design but on leadership capacity across political, bureaucratic, and institutional domains. Public–private partnerships, accountability mechanisms, and performance monitoring frameworks all require credible leadership to function effectively (Abasilim et al., 2025).

In summary, leadership serves as the connective tissue that binds institutions, policies, and individuals together. It shapes how power is exercised, how resources are used, how conflicts are managed, and how societies adapt to change. National development is therefore not merely an economic or technical process but a leadership-dependent process. Strengthening leadership capacity, ethical standards, emotional intelligence, and institutional accountability is thus not peripheral but central to Nigeria’s pursuit of sustainable and inclusive development.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is anchored in Systems Management Theory and Behavioural Leadership Theory, which together provide a complementary analytical lens for examining how leadership influences institutional performance and national development outcomes in Nigeria.

Systems Management Theory conceptualises organisations and societies as complex, interdependent systems composed of interacting subsystems whose effectiveness depends on coordination, feedback, and alignment. From this perspective, leadership functions as a central integrating mechanism that connects institutional actors, policy domains, and social processes into a coherent whole. Kipping and Üsdiken (2014) observe that although historical and contextual analysis was marginalised by the scientisation of management studies from the late 1950s, it has since regained prominence through renewed attention to institutional evolution and historical embeddedness. They identify two important developments: first, the incorporation of historical processes directly into theoretical models of organisations, and second, the emergence of what they describe as “historical cognisance,” whereby scholars explicitly recognise the influence of past institutional arrangements, political legacies, and social structures on contemporary organisational behaviour. This perspective is particularly relevant to the Nigerian context, where colonial legacies, post-independence political trajectories, and enduring governance challenges shape leadership practices and institutional performance.

Behavioural Leadership Theory, by contrast, focuses on leaders’ observable actions and behavioural patterns rather than on innate traits or formal authority. It posits that leadership effectiveness is determined by what leaders do, how they communicate, motivate, make decisions, resolve conflict, and model ethical conduct, and that these behaviours can be learned, developed, and improved through training and experience (Harrison & Harrison, 2018). Behavioural theory,



therefore, provides a practical framework for analysing leadership not as a fixed personal attribute but as a dynamic and developable set of competencies that influence organisational climate, follower behaviour, and institutional outcomes.

Together, these two theories enable a multi-level analysis of leadership. Systems theory situates leadership within broader institutional, political, and socio-economic structures, while behavioural theory focuses on the micro-level practices through which leaders exercise influence. Their integration allows this study to examine both how leadership is structurally conditioned and how it is enacted in practice, thereby offering a more comprehensive understanding of leadership's role as a catalyst for national development.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study adopts a qualitative conceptual review and analytical synthesis approach to investigate the relationship between leadership and national development in Nigeria from behavioural and systems perspectives. Rather than merely summarising existing literature, this method enables a critical interrogation of how leadership functions within complex institutional and socio-political contexts. By deconstructing the core definitions, drivers, mechanisms, and consequences of leadership, the study moves beyond descriptive accounts to develop an integrated analytical understanding of leadership as both a behavioural practice and a systemic institutional force.

The study draws on secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, government publications, policy reports, and institutional documents, all of which are relevant to leadership, governance, and development. Particular attention is given to Nigerian policy documents, notably the First National Development Plan (1962–1968) and the National Development Plan (2021–2025), which serve as key empirical reference points for examining leadership continuity, change, and institutional learning across time. Academic sources were drawn from recognised scholarly databases and reputable publishers to ensure credibility and relevance.

Sources were selected purposively based on their relevance to:

- (i) leadership theories (primarily behavioural and systems approaches);
- (ii) the relationship between leadership, governance, and development outcomes;
- (iii) Nigeria's planning and institutional history; and
- (iv) empirical or conceptual analyses of policy implementation, corruption, accountability, and institutional performance.

Only sources published in reputable academic journals, official government outlets, and internationally recognised institutions were included.

The analysis proceeded in three stages. First, the selected literature and policy documents were systematically reviewed and concept-mapped to identify recurring concepts, patterns, and explanatory variables related to leadership and development. Second, key themes, including leadership behaviour, institutional coordination, policy coherence, accountability, emotional intelligence, innovation, and sustainability, were extracted and categorised. Third, these themes were analysed through the combined lenses of Systems Management Theory and Behavioural Leadership Theory to examine how leadership operates simultaneously as a structural coordinating mechanism and as a set of observable practices shaping institutional outcomes.

A specific comparative element was incorporated through the historical analysis of Nigeria's development planning. By comparing the leadership assumptions, governance structures, and implementation outcomes of the 1962–1968 and 2021–2025 development plans, the study identifies persistent leadership-related gaps that have constrained policy execution, institutional effectiveness, and developmental progress. This comparative approach ensures that the findings are not merely theoretical but grounded in Nigeria's lived policy experience.

The conceptual review approach enables the integration of fragmented literature, identifies analytical gaps, and facilitates the development of a coherent framework that links leadership practices to national development outcomes. While the absence of primary data limits causal

inference, the study offers a robust theoretical and policy-relevant foundation for future empirical research and leadership reform initiatives.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The conceptual review and policy analysis reveal that leadership for national development in Nigeria is defined by three interrelated attributes: effective resource coordination, future-oriented vision (legacy-building), and people-centred development. These attributes are not merely normative ideals but function as operational mechanisms through which leadership influences institutional performance and development outcomes.

The findings indicate that observable behaviours, including integrity, emotional intelligence, accountability, empathy, a learning orientation, and collaborative capacity, powerfully shape leadership effectiveness in Nigeria. This supports the core proposition of Behavioural Leadership Theory, which holds that leadership is not an innate trait but a set of learnable and modifiable practices (Harrison & Harrison, 2018). In the Nigerian context, where leadership failures have historically undermined policy implementation, the emphasis on behavioural competencies becomes particularly salient (Abasilim et al., 2019).

The evidence suggests that leadership deficits are less about the absence of formal plans and more about weak behavioural commitment to execution. The persistent gap between policy formulation and implementation reflects deficiencies in accountability mechanisms, inter-agency coordination, and ethical conduct, reinforcing the argument that leadership behaviour, rather than institutional Design alone, is central to development outcomes (Olu-Owolabi et al., 2021).

Viewed through the lens of Systems Management Theory, Nigeria's development challenges emerge as systemic coordination failures rather than isolated sectoral problems. Leadership serves as a central integrating mechanism within this system, aligning political authority, bureaucratic institutions, private-sector actors, and civil society toward shared objectives.

The comparative analysis of Nigeria's First National Development Plan (1962–1968) and the National Development Plan (2021–2025) reveals recurring leadership-related constraints: weak institutional continuity, politicisation of policy implementation, fragmented governance structures, and limited feedback mechanisms (Abasilim et al., 2025). These patterns illustrate what Kipping and Üsdiken (2014) describe as the absence of “historical cognisance”, the failure to internalise institutional memory and learn from past policy experiences.

Without such historical learning, leadership repeatedly reproduces structural inefficiencies, thereby undermining long-term development planning. Consequently, development stagnation in Nigeria is not simply an economic problem but an institutional and leadership problem embedded within the governance system.

Another critical finding concerns the relationship between leadership credibility and development financing. Sustainable investment, particularly from the private sector, is contingent upon predictable governance, transparent institutions, and trustworthy leadership behaviour. Behavioural leadership theory helps explain why development targets remain unrealised when leaders fail to model transparency, accountability, and collaboration (Ogunwa & Abasilim, 2024; Abasilim & Oparah, 2025; Folarin et al., 2025). Investors and development partners respond not to policy documents but to leadership credibility as expressed through consistent institutional behaviour (Odukoya et al., 2024).

Thus, leadership narratives in Nigeria must shift from rhetorical commitment to performative legitimacy, in which implementation outcomes, rather than intentions, determine leadership effectiveness.

The findings suggest that leadership reform in Nigeria must operate simultaneously at the behavioural and systemic levels. Behavioural change without institutional reform is insufficient, just as institutional restructuring without leadership behavioural change is ineffective. Sustainable development, therefore, requires an integrated leadership model that combines ethical conduct, emotional intelligence, strategic coordination, institutional learning, and systems thinking.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that leadership is not merely one factor among many in Nigeria's development process but the central integrative mechanism through which institutional capacity, policy effectiveness, and socio-economic outcomes are activated. While financial resources, development plans, and administrative frameworks are necessary, they remain largely ineffective without leadership that is ethically grounded, behaviourally consistent, and systemically oriented.

By integrating Systems Management Theory and Behavioural Leadership Theory, the paper shows that Nigeria's development challenges are simultaneously structural and behavioural. Weak leadership behaviours, characterised by poor accountability, limited coordination, and inadequate institutional learning, undermine system functionality, fragment policy implementation, and erode public trust. Conversely, leadership that is transparent, empathetic, learning-oriented, and strategically coordinated generates a multiplier effect across governance institutions, enabling policies to translate into tangible development outcomes.

The principal contribution of this study lies in reframing Nigeria's development challenge as a leadership-system interaction problem rather than a purely economic or administrative one. Theoretically, it bridges micro-level leadership behaviour with macro-level institutional performance, extending leadership and development scholarship by demonstrating how leadership practices condition the effectiveness of governance systems in developing contexts.

From a policy perspective, the findings suggest that leadership reform must extend beyond structural reorganisation or regulatory change to encompass deliberate behavioural transformation through training, evaluation, and institutional culture change. Without this behavioural foundation, development strategies risk reproducing the same systemic failures regardless of policy innovation or financial investment.

Accordingly, strengthening national development requires leadership that is locally responsive, systemically informed, ethically grounded, and continuously evaluated based on both social impact and institutional performance, rather than relying solely on political cycles. Leadership that combines strategic coordination with human-centred governance remains essential for translating Nigeria's development ambitions into sustainable and inclusive outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Abasilim, U. D. (2024). Exploring the Concept of Leadership. *Humanities and Social Sciences*, 31(1), 7–32.
- Abasilim, U. D., Adelusi, O. & Mudau, T. J. (2025). Nigeria's National Development Plans: Contemporary Challenges and Strategic Opportunities. *Jurnal Administrasi Publik*, 15(1), 141-152. <https://ojs.uma.ac.id/index.php/adminpublik/article/view/14538>
- Abasilim, U. D., Gbervbie, D. E., & Osibanjo, O. A. (2019). Leadership Styles and Employees' Commitment: An Empirical Evidence from Nigeria. *SAGE Open*, 9(3), 1–15.
- Abasilim, U. D. & Oparah, A. C. O. (2025). Human Capital Development and Poverty Reduction in Nigeria: Unravelling the Nexus. *Jurnal Ilmiah Administrasi Publik dan Pemerintahan*, 4(2): 153-163.
- Ahmad, N. R. (2025). Leadership Ethics in Practice: Employees' Experiences of Integrity and Hypocrisy in Public-Sector Organizations in Pakistan. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and TESOL (JALT)*, 8(2), 2500–2511.
- Anam, B. E., Ijim, U. A., Ironbar, V. E., Otu, A. P., Duke, O. O., & Achuk Eba, M. B. (2024). Economic recovery and growth plan, economic sustainability plan, and national development plan (2021-2025): The Nigerian experience under President Muhammadu Buhari. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1), 2289600.
- Arora, N. K., & Mishra, I. (2019). United Nations Sustainable Development Goals 2030 and environmental sustainability: Race against time. *Environmental Sustainability*, 2(4), 339–342.
- Bardhan, P. (2017). Corruption and development: A review of issues. In *Political corruption* (pp. 321–338).
- Blake, R. R., & Mouton, J. S. (1964). The managerial grid: Key orientations for achieving production through people. Houston, TX: Gulf Publishing Company.
- Doshi, S., & Ranganathan, M. (2019). Corruption. In *Keywords in radical geography: Antipode at 50* (pp. 68–73).
- Federal Ministry of Finance, Budget and National Planning. (2021). *National development plan (NDP) 2021–2025* (Vol. 1).
- Fischer, T., & Alvesson, M. (2025). A Theory of Leadership Meta-Talk and the Talking-Doing Gap. *Journal of Management Studies*.



- Folarin, S. F., Abasilim, U. D., & Jalal, M. M. (2025). Navigating the Dark Side of Leadership: Insights from Nigeria's Public Sector. In *The Dark Side of Leadership: A Cross-Cultural Compendium* (pp. 257–270). Productivity Press.
- Harrison, C., & Harrison, C. (2018). Leadership research and theory. In *Leadership theory and research: A critical approach to new and existing paradigms* (pp. 15–32).
- Khalilov, T., Aliyev, V., & Zeynalov, I. (2025). The role of leadership and managerial skills in strategic planning. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(20s), 98–104.
- Kipping, M., & Üsdiken, B. (2024). History in organization and management theory: More than meets the eye. *Academy of Management Annals*, 8(1), 535–588.
- Mendoza, R. U., Bulaong, O. G., Jr., & Mendoza, G. A. S. Z. (2025). Anti-corruption reforms in the Philippines: Quo vadis? *Millennial Asia*. Advance online publication.
- Mohiya, M. (2025). The Contribution of Frontline and Middle Management (FMM) in Shaping Employees' Experience within the Organizational Communication System (OCS): An Exploratory Investigation. *Journal of Posthumanism*, 5(6), 667–683.
- Obicci, P. A. (2025). Corrupt elites, administrative cadres and public service in Africa: Islands of vanity. *Journal of Management and Science*, 15(1), 42–82.
- Odukoya, J. A., Ifijeh, G., Ehibor, O. J., Ugorji, C. U. C., Osimen, G. U., Abasilim, U., Owolabi, E. E. Eyisi, J., Adesiyan, R., Abiodun-Eniayekan, E. N., Igbino, A., Chimuanya, L., Odo, O., Oduola, O. & Agberotimi, S. (2024). Psychometric Assessment of Lee Yew's Leadership Role in Singapore's Development: A Systematic Review. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*, 22(2), 1987–2010.
- Ogunwa, S. A. & Abasilim, U. D. (2024). Democracy, Federalism, and Governance in Nigeria. *Journal of Governance and Development*, 20(1), 105–127.
- Olu-Owolabi, F. E., Gberegbe, D. E. & Abasilim, U. D. (2021). Ethics of Democracy-Development in Africa: A Philosophical Foundation. *African Identities*, 19(1), 91–102.
- Rosari, R. (2019). Leadership definitions for lecturers' leadership development. *Journal of Leadership in Organisations*, 1(1), 17–28.
- Singh, A., & Singh, S. (2025). Elevating Leadership Success: The Long-Term Benefits of Emotional Intelligence. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Approaches in Psychology*, 3(1), 75–91.
- Umeanwe, C. M. (2020). Leadership by example: A Model for sustainable democracy in Nigeria. *Interdisciplinary Journal of African and Asian Studies*, 5(3), 1–19.
- United Nations. (2015). *Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 25 September 2015: Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*.